

AUS9-2001-0766-US1

15

REMARKSIn response to examiner's suggestions:

the Abstract has been amended so as to be an acceptable length;

a new shorter Title has been made by amendment; and

the cross-referenced Application has been identified by serial number, and filing date.

The Rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph is respectfully Traversed

It is submitted that the term "any one of a plurality of users to register and unregister to perform an action on a build event" is particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed. In this connection, registering and unregistering with respect to the performance of a build event is thoroughly described in the present specification from page 10, line 33 through page 11, line 22 with reference to Fig. 3. The build event, Common Code Build 50 has an associated user interactive window 60 having a menu 70 of actions for which a user may register or unregister 71 through an interactive dialog box. In view of this thorough embodiment of registering and unregistering for action, it is submitted that the terminology of enabling users registering and unregister for specific action would be very clearly and distinctly defined to one skilled in the art. There is no possibility of registering and unregistering being read on any editing function such as "edit/undo edit" action or "start/end" action

AUS920010766US1

15

AUS9-2001-0766-US1

16

The Rejection of claims 1, 4-7, 12, 15-18, 23, and 26-29 as Anticipated and Unpatentable under 35 USC 102(e) over Bertero et al (US6,698,013) is Respectfully Traversed

Bertero is not an anticipatory reference under 35 USC 102. In order to reject under 35 USC 102, the reference must teach every element of the invention without modification. Bertero fails to do this.

It should be noted that in order to further distinguish over Bertero, the claims have been amended to set forth the tracking of a plurality of sequential sets of build events which plurality are simultaneously tracked and simultaneously displayed.

The following is claim 12 in which the underlined portions are not disclosed or taught by Bertero.

Independent claims 1, and 23 have the same elements as claim 12. Also, independent claims 10, 21, and 32 now have at least the same elements. Thus, all of the claims of this Application now have all of the elements of claim 12.

12. A method for dynamically tracking and controlling the building of software program objects on a computer controlled user interactive display system comprising:

simultaneously tracking each of a plurality of sets of sequential build events, each set of sequential build events respectively building a program object;

simultaneously displaying each of said sets of sequential build events;

enabling any one of a plurality of users to interactively register to perform an action on each of said displayed sequential build events; and

enabling any one of said plurality of users to interactively unregister to perform an action on each of said displayed sequential build events.

AUS920010766US1

16

AUS9-2001-0765-US1

17

Bertero does not track each of a plurality of sets of sequential build events. Bertero tracks only a single set of sequential build events. The Examiner specifically points to columns 12 and 13 in Bertero. However, the building of code described in this portion of Bertero is the sequential building of a single sequential set of code line by line to form blocks of the single set of code. There is no disclosure of the simultaneous building of plural sequential sets of code in Bertero. The displayed sequences in Bertero only relate to the building of a single sequential set of code.

Bertero does not enable any user to interactively register to perform an action on each of said displayed sequential build events. Bertero enables the interactive user to enter comments and annotations regarding the sequence of code being developed at the code line levels or at the code block levels. These comments in Bertero are not in any way equivalent to the claimed user registration to perform an action in the building of the sequence. The annotation/comments disclosure in Bertero would not be a disclosure without modification, as required by 35 USC 102, of the claimed registration to perform an action.

Bertero does not enable any user to interactively unregister to perform an action on each of said displayed sequential build events. In the cited portion of Bertero, the interactive user may enter index information or other comments in the comments section provided. the user is also enabled to deselect or remove the entered comments or index information. This expedient in Bertero

AUS920010766US1

17

AUS9-2001-0766-US1

18

is merely routine editing of a sequence of code being developed. In view of Applicants' above arguments with respect to the claimed registration, this disclosure in Bertero of deselecting of index information entered into a line of code is not a disclosure without modification of the claimed unregistration to perform an action.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the teaching of Bertero is not a teaching of every element of the present invention without modification as required by 35 USC 102(b). Since all of the claims in the present invention have now been amended to include all of the elements of claims 12, it is submitted that all of the claims 1-33 are patentable over Bertero under 35 USC 102.

Claims 2-3, 9-11, 13-14, 20-22, 24-25, and 31-33 are Unobvious and Patentable over Bertero et al. under 35 USC 103(a)

The rejection of these claims under 35 USC 103(a) over Bertero is respectfully traversed. These claims are of course submitted to be patentable over the Bertero patent for the reasons set forth above. In addition, claims 2, 3, 9-11, 13-14, 20, 22, 24-25, 31, and 33 set forth implementations requiring the determination as to whether a requested registration or unregistration is authorized. Even if it be conceded that determining authorization for a user is a generally known expedient, these claims are submitted to be patentable for all of the reasons set forth hereinabove.

Likewise, claims 10, 21, and 32 set forth that the user may select to register or unregister through a menu implementation. Even if it be conceded that determining interactive user selection via a menu is a generally

AUS92001C766US1

18

AUS9-2001-0766-US1

19

known expedient, these claims are submitted to be patentable for all of the reasons set forth hereinabove.

Claims 8, 19, and 30 found to be Allowable Subject Matter

It is noted that these claims would have allowable subject matter subject to the above argued 35 USC 112 rejection. Applicants are prepared to make appropriate amendments once the issues with respect to this rejection have been resolved.

In view of the foregoing, claims 1-33 as amended are submitted to be in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

J. B. Kraft 09/23/07
J. B. Kraft
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 19,226
(512) 473-2303

Please Mail All Correspondence to:
J. B. Kraft
710 Colorado St., Suite 5C
Austin, Texas 78701

AUS920010766US1

19